

Michael P. Lehmann (77152)  
**COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C.**  
One Embarcadero Center  
Suites 526 A & B  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 623-2047  
Facsimile: (415) 433-5994

Michael D. Hausfeld  
Charles E. Tompkins  
Andrew B. Bullion  
Hilary K. Ratway (209451)  
Andrea L. Hertzfeld  
**COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C.**  
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
West Tower, Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 408-4600  
Facsimile: (202) 408-4699

Steven A. Kanner  
**FREED KANNER LONDON &  
MILLEN LLC**  
2201 Waukegan Road, Suite 130  
Bannockburn, IL 60115  
Telephone: (224) 632-4500  
Facsimile: (224) 632-4521

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Hwa Ja Chung*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

HWA JA CHUNG, individually and on  
behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

KOREAN AIR LINES CO., LTD., and  
ASIANA AIRLINES, INC.,

Defendants.

No. C-07-4016

Honorable Charles R. Breyer

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 Plaintiff Hwa Ja Chung (“Plaintiff”), by and through her undersigned attorneys, complains  
2 and alleges as follows:

3 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

4 1. This action arises out of a long-running, international conspiracy, beginning no  
5 later than January 1, 2000, and continuing until at least July 16, 2006 (the “Class Period”), among  
6 defendants Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., and Asiana Airlines, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) to  
7 fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize passenger fares and wholesale fares charged for passenger air  
8 transportation between the United States and the Republic of Korea (“Korea”), in violation of  
9 Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

10 2. Plaintiff brings this civil action on behalf of herself and all others similarly  
11 situated, pursuant to Sections 4 and 16 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 26, seeking treble  
12 damages and injunctive relief against Defendants.

13 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

14 3. This complaint is filed under Sections 4 and 16 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §§  
15 15 and 26, to obtain injunctive relief for violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

16 4. The Court has original federal question jurisdiction over the Sherman Act claim  
17 asserted in this complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § §1331 and 1337 and Sections 4 and 16 of the  
18 Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15 and 26.

19 5. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to Sections 4(a) and 12 of the Clayton  
20 Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15 and 22, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c), and (d) because Defendants reside,  
21 transacts business, are found within, and/or have agents within this District and a substantial part  
22 of the events giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims occurred and a substantial portion of the affected  
23 interstate trade and commerce described below has been carried out in this District.

24 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, *inter alia*, each: (a)  
25 transacted business in this District; (b) directly or indirectly sold and delivered passenger air  
26 transportation in this District; (c) has substantial aggregate contacts with this District; and (d)  
27 engaged in an illegal price-fixing conspiracy that was directed at, and had the intended effect of  
28

1 causing injury to, persons and entities residing in, located in, or doing business in this District.

2 **DEFENDANTS**

3 7. Defendant Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. ("Korean Air") is a corporation organized  
4 and existing under the laws of Korea. It is headquartered at Korean Air Operations Center, 1370,  
5 Gonghang-dong, Gangseo-gu, Seoul, Korea 157-712. Korean Air conducts passenger air  
6 transportation throughout the world, including flights to and from the United States and this  
7 District.

8 8. Defendant Asiana Airline,s Inc. ("Asiana") is a corporation organized and existing  
9 under the laws of Korea. It is headquartered in Asiana Town, Kangseo, P.O. Box 98 #47, Osae-  
10 Dong, Jangseo-Ku, Seoul, Korea. Asiana conducts passenger air transportation throughout the  
11 world, including flights to and from the United States and this District.

12 **UNNAMED CO-CONSPIRATORS**

13 9. On information and belief, at all relevant times, other airlines, entities, and/or  
14 persons willingly conspired with Korean Air and Asiana in their unlawful restraint of trade. All  
15 averments herein against Korean Air and Asiana are also averred against these unnamed co-  
16 conspirators as though set forth at length.

17 **AGENTS**

18 10. The acts alleged to have been done by Defendants were authorized, ordered, or  
19 performed by their directors, officers, managers, agents, employees, or representatives while  
20 actively engaged in the management of Defendants' affairs.

21 **PLAINTIFF**

22 11. Plaintiff Hwa Ja Chung is an individual resident of the Commonwealth of  
23 Virginia, residing at 4602 Luxberry Drive, Fairfax, VA 22032. During the Class Period, Plaintiff  
24 purchased air transportations services from Korean Air and/or Asiana and has suffered pecuniary  
25 injury as a result of the antitrust violation alleged herein.

26 **INTERSTATE TRADE AND COMMERCE**

27 12. Throughout the Class Period, there was a continuous and uninterrupted flow of  
28

1 invoices for payment, payments, and other documents essential to the provision of passenger air  
2 transportation transmitted in interstate and foreign trade and commerce between and among  
3 offices of Defendants and there customers located throughout the world, including throughout the  
4 United States.

5 13. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants transported substantial numbers of  
6 passengers, in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce,  
7 between various airports in the United States and Korea.

8 14. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants unlawful activities, as described herein,  
9 took place within and substantially affected the flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce  
10 and had a direct, substantial and reasonably foreseeable effect upon commerce in the United  
11 States and elsewhere.

12 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

13 15. Korean Air is the largest passenger carrier between the United States and Korea,  
14 and averages more than \$250 million per year on those flights. It operates passenger air  
15 transportation to 130 cities in 45 countries, including the United States. Asiana, the second  
16 largest passenger carrier between the United States and Korea, operates passenger air  
17 transportation to 73 international destinations in 17 countries worldwide, including the United  
18 States.

19 16. Defendants sold tickets to passengers directly at a published fare. The published  
20 fare included both a base fare and, at times during the Class Period, a fuel surcharge. The base  
21 fare and fuel surcharge charged to passengers by Defendants are collectively referred to herein as  
22 the "passenger fare."

23 17. Defendants also sold tickets to travel agents who resold these tickets to passengers.  
24 When Defendants sold tickets to travel agents for resale to passengers, they sold tickets at a  
25 discounted published fare, which is referred to herein as the "wholesale fare."

26 18. Beginning no later than January 1, 2000, and continuing through at least July 16,  
27 2006, Defendants and their co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and  
28

1 conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by fixing the price for passenger and wholesale  
2 fares charged for flights between the United States and Korea.

3 19. As part of their conspiracy, and in furtherance thereof, Defendants and their  
4 co-conspirators did those things that they conspired to do, including the following:

5 a. Participating in meetings, conversations and communications in the United  
6 States and elsewhere to discuss one or both components (the base fare and the fuel surcharge) of  
7 the passenger fares and wholesale fares to be charged for flights between the United States and  
8 Korea;

9 b. Agreeing during such meetings, conversations and communications, on one  
10 or both components of the passenger fares and wholesale fares to charge on flights between the  
11 United States and Korea; and

12 c. Levying passenger and wholesale fares on flights between the United  
13 States and Korea in accordance with the agreements reached; and

14 d. Engaging in meetings, conversations, and communications in the United  
15 States for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the agreed-upon passenger fares  
16 and wholesale fares.

17 20. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conspiracy, Plaintiff and each  
18 member of the Class have been injured and damaged.

19 **GOVERNMENT PROCEEDINGS**

20 21. On August 1, 2007, the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") filed a  
21 criminal information against Korean Air in the United States District Court for the District of  
22 Columbia, charging it with violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 for engaging in  
23 the price fixing conspiracy alleged herein, as well as a price fixing conspiracy directed at air  
24 cargo rates.

25 22. That same day, the DOJ announced that Korean Air had agreed to plead guilty and  
26 pay a \$300 million fine for its participation in the two charged conspiracies. In confirming that it  
27 had agreed to plead guilty, Korean Air attorney Ahn Yong-Seok announced that Korean Air  
28

1 “apologises [sic] to shareholders and customers for causing trouble.” He further stated that  
2 Korean Air’s compliance officer would attempt to ensure future compliance with U.S. and global  
3 fair trade rules. Subsequent news reports indicated that Asiana may also be subject to potential  
4 fines.

5 23. On August 23, 2007, Korean Air entered a plea of guilty to two counts of violating  
6 Section 1 of the Sherman Act and was sentenced to a criminal fine of \$300 million. Final  
7 judgment to this effect was entered by Judge Bates in the United States District Court for the  
8 District of Columbia.

9 **FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT**

10 24. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants affirmatively and fraudulently concealed  
11 their unlawful conduct against Plaintiff and the Class.

12 25. Plaintiff and the members of the Class did not discover, and could not discover  
13 through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Defendants were violating the antitrust laws as  
14 alleged herein until shortly before this litigation was commenced. Nor could Plaintiff and the  
15 members of the Class have discovered the violations earlier than that time because Defendants  
16 conducted their conspiracy in secret, concealed the nature of their unlawful conduct and acts in  
17 furtherance thereof, and fraudulently concealed their activities through various other means and  
18 methods designed to avoid detection. The conspiracy was by its nature self-concealing.

19 26. Only on or about August 1, 2007, when the DOJ announced the charges against  
20 Korean Air for fixing passenger fares and wholesale fares was the existence of the conspiracy  
21 disclosed to the public. Plaintiff and the members of the Class could not have discovered the  
22 unlawful conduct at an earlier date through the exercise of reasonable diligence because of  
23 Defendants’ active and purposeful concealment of their unlawful activities.

24 27. Defendants engaged in a successful, illegal price-fixing conspiracy with respect to  
25 passenger air transportation passenger fares and wholesale fares, which they affirmatively  
26 concealed in at least the following respects:

27 a. By agreeing among themselves not to discuss publicly, or otherwise reveal,  
28

1 the nature and substance of the acts and communications in furtherance of the illegal scheme;

2 b. By engaging in secret meetings, telephone calls, and other communications  
3 in order to further their illicit cartel; and/or

4 c. By giving false and pretextual reasons for their pricing of passenger fares  
5 and wholesale fares, and for the increases in those prices during the relevant period, and by  
6 describing such pricing and increases falsely as being a result of external costs rather than  
7 collusion.

8 28. As a result of Defendants' fraudulent concealment of its conspiracy, Plaintiff and  
9 the members of the Class assert the tolling of any applicable statute of limitations affecting the  
10 rights of action of Plaintiff and the members of the Class.

#### 11 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

12 29. Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf and as a class action pursuant to Rule  
13 23(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the following Class:

14 All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation  
15 that included at least one flight segment between the United States  
16 and the Republic of Korea from Defendants or any predecessor,  
17 subsidiary or affiliate thereof, at any time between January 1, 2000  
and July 16, 2006. Excluded from the class are governmental  
entities, Defendants, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate thereof, and  
Defendants' officers, directors, employees and immediate families.

18 30. Plaintiff does not know the exact number of members of the Class because such  
19 information is in the exclusive control of Defendant. Due to the nature of the trade and  
20 commerce involved, however, Plaintiff believes that Class members number at least in the  
21 thousands and are sufficiently numerous and geographically dispersed throughout the United  
22 States and the world so that joinder of all Class members is impracticable.

23 31. There are questions of law and fact which are common to the claims of Plaintiff  
24 and the Class, including, but are not limited to:

25 a. Whether Defendants engaged in a combination or conspiracy with their  
26 co-conspirators to fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize the prices for passenger fares and  
27 wholesale fares charged for flights between the United States and Korea;

1           b.       Whether the purpose and/or effect of the acts and omissions alleged herein  
2 was to restrain trade, or to affect, fix, control, and/or maintain the prices for passenger fares and  
3 wholesale fares charged for flights between the United States and Korea;

4           c.       The existence and duration of the horizontal agreements alleged herein to  
5 fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize the prices for passenger fares and wholesale fares charged for  
6 flights between the United States and Korea;

7           d.       Whether Defendants violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1;

8           e.       Whether Defendants fraudulently concealed the alleged conspiracy so as to  
9 equitably toll any applicable statute of limitations;

10          f.       Whether Defendants' agents, officers, employees, or representatives  
11 participated in correspondence and meetings in furtherance of the illegal conspiracy alleged  
12 herein, and, if so, whether such agents, officers, employees, or representatives were acting within  
13 the scope of their authority and in furtherance of Defendants' business interests;

14          g.       Whether, and to what extent, the conduct of Defendants caused injury to  
15 Plaintiff and members of the Class, and, if so, the appropriate measure of damages; and

16          h.       Whether Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to injunctive relief  
17 to prevent the continuation or furtherance of the violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act  
18 alleged.

19       32.       Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class.

20       33.       Plaintiff will fairly and adequately assert and protect the interests of the Class.  
21 Plaintiff's interests are coincident with, and not antagonistic to, those of the other members of the  
22 Class.

23       34.       Plaintiff is represented by counsel competent and experience in the prosecution of  
24 antitrust and class action litigation.

25       35.       The questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate  
26 over any questions affecting only individual members.

27       36.       A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient  
28



1 adjudication of this controversy because:

2 a. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class  
3 would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, establishing incompatible standards  
4 of conduct for Defendants.

5 b. The Class is readily definable and one for which records should exist in the  
6 files of Defendant.

7 c. Prosecution as a class action will eliminate the possibility of repetitious  
8 litigation.

9 d. Treatment as a class action will permit a large number of similarly situated  
10 persons to adjudicate their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and  
11 without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would require.

12 e. Class treatment will permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by  
13 many Class members who otherwise could not afford to litigate an antitrust claim such as is  
14 asserted in this complaint on an individual basis.

15 37. This class action presents no difficulties of management that would preclude its  
16 maintenance as a class action.

17 **COUNT I**

18 **Violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1**

19 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth  
20 herein.

21 39. Defendants and their co-conspirators engaged in a continuing contract,  
22 combination, and conspiracy to artificially fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize the prices of  
23 passenger fares and wholesale fares for flights between the United States and Korea, in violation  
24 of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

25 40. Defendants and their co-conspirators agreed to, and did in fact, restrain trade or  
26 commerce by fixing, raising, maintaining, and/or stabilizing at artificial and non-competitive  
27 levels, the prices of passenger fares and wholesale fares for flights between the United States and  
28

1 Korea.

2 41. In formulating and effectuating their contract, combination or conspiracy,  
3 Defendants and their co-conspirators engaged in anticompetitive activities, the purpose and effect  
4 of which were to artificially fix, raise, maintain and/or stabilize passenger fares and wholesales  
5 fares. These activities included the following:

6 a. Agreeing to charge prices for passenger fares and wholesale fares at certain  
7 levels and otherwise fix, raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices for passenger fares and wholesale  
8 fares; and

9 b. Charging passenger fares and wholesale fares at agreed upon levels.

10 42. The illegal combination and conspiracy alleged herein had the following effects,  
11 among others:

12 a. The prices charged by Defendants to, and paid by Plaintiff and members of  
13 the Class for passenger and wholesale fares were fixed, raised, maintained and/or stabilized at  
14 artificially high and non-competitive levels;

15 b. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been deprived of free and open  
16 competition in the purchase of passenger air transportation between the United States and Korea;

17 c. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been required to pay more for  
18 passenger air transportation between the United States and Korea than they would have paid in a  
19 competitive marketplace absent Defendants' price-fixing conspiracy;

20 d. Competition in the sale of passenger air transportation between the United  
21 States and Korea has been restrained, suppressed or eliminated.

22 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff and members of  
23 the Class were have been injured and damaged in their business and property in an amount to be  
24 determined according to proof.

25 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

26 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

27 A. That the Court determine that this action may be maintained as a class action under  
28

1 Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and direct that reasonable notice of  
2 this action, as provided by Rule 23(c)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, be give to  
3 members of the Class;

4 B. That the Court adjudge and decree that the contract, combination and conspiracy  
5 alleged herein is a *per se* unreasonable restraint of trade in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman  
6 Act;

7 C. That the Court enter judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, in favor  
8 of Plaintiff and the Class;

9 D. That the Court award Plaintiff and the Class treble damages;

10 E. That the Court award Plaintiff and the Class attorneys' fees and costs as well as  
11 pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as permitted by law;

12 F. That Defendants and their co-conspirators, their respective successors, assigns,  
13 parents, subsidiaries, affiliates and transferees, and their respective officers, directors, agents and  
14 employees, and all other persons acting or claiming to act on behalf of Defendants or their co-  
15 conspirators, or in concert with them, be permanently enjoined and restrained from, in any  
16 manner, directly or indirectly, continuing, maintaining or renewing the combination, conspiracy,  
17 agreement, understanding or concert of action, or adopting any practice, plan, program or design  
18 having a similar purpose or affect in restraining competition; and

19 G. That the Court award Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as may be  
20 deemed necessary and appropriate.

21 Dated: September 4, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

22  
23 By: /s/ Hilary K. Ratway  
Michael D. Hausfeld  
Charles E. Tompkins  
Andrew B. Bullion  
Hilary K. Ratway (209451)  
Andrea L. Hertzfeld  
24 **COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD**  
25 **& TOLL, P.L.L.C.**  
26 1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
27 West Tower, Suite 500  
28

1 Washington, DC 20005  
2 Telephone: (202) 408-4600  
3 Facsimile: (202) 408-4699

4 Michael P. Lehmann (71552)  
5 mlehmann@CMHT.com  
6 **COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD**  
7 **& TOLL P.L.L.C.**  
8 One Embarcadero Center  
9 Suites 526 A & B  
10 San Francisco, CA 94111  
11 Telephone: (415) 623-2047  
12 Facsimile: (415) 433-5994

13 Steven A. Kanner  
14 **FREED KANNER LONDON &**  
15 **MILLEN LLC**  
16 2201 Waukegan Road, Suite 130  
17 Bannockburn, IL 60115  
18 Telephone: (224) 632-4500  
19 Facsimile: (224) 632-4521  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28